


REMARKS

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holt *et al.* (U.S. Patent 5,960,447). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. Among other distinctions between the claimed invention and the Holt '447 reference, Holt fails to disclose let alone teach the concept of a "transcribed text file" versus a "verbatim text file." As discussed at pages 3-4 of the original specification, a "verbatim text file" is "often substantially different from the final (or 'transcribed') text." By loading two windows with transcribed text and verbatim text, respectively, the process of mapping the audio file is made more efficient and provides other advantages. See Fig. 7 and pgs. 26-29.

Claims 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). Claim 2 is newly presented.

Respectfully submitted,

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

Jordan A. Sigale
Reg. No. 39,028

Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station
Sears Tower
Chicago, IL 60606-1080
Tel. 312-876-8000